

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,987	07/14/2006	Jean-Jacques Sacre	PF030118	7143
7590 08/20/2010 Joseph S Tripoli			EXAMINER	
Thomson Licensing Inc			CHWASZ, JADE R	
Patent Operations P O Box 5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			2872	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/564,987 SACRE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jade R. Chwasz 2872 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 June 2010. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 10-13 and 15-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-13 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/564,987 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/24/10 has been entered.

## Response to Amendment

The amendments to the claims, in the submission dated 6/24/10, are acknowledged and accepted.

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-13, and 15-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Priority

 Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in France on 7/23/03. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 03/08961 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2872

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 10-13, 15-17, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yajima (JP 04267203 A) in view of Lu (2004/0160578) (herein after Lu '578) and Shikama et al. (5.634.704).

Consider claims 10 and 15, Yajima discloses (e.g. figure 1) an illuminating device comprising an optical source (1, light source) emitting an unpolarized light beam (2, randomly polarized beam), a polarizing beam splitter (4, polarization splitting layer) included between first faces (middle side length of each prism) of a first and second transparent prism (right and left sides of prism 3 that are separated by the polarization splitting layer), which prisms each have a second exit face (shortest side of each prism) both situated within one and the same plane, said first faces (middle side length of each prism) and second faces (shortest side of each prism) of each prism being perpendicular; the unpolarised light beam (2, randomly polarized beam) penetrating into the first prism (e.g. the left side of prism 3) through a third face of this first prism (hypotenuse of the left side of prism 3) and reaching the polarizing beam splitter (4, polarization splitting layer) that transmits the light with a first polarization direction (ppolarized light is transmitted) and that reflects the light with a second polarization direction (s-polarized light is reflected); the light transmitted by the polarizing beam splitter being transmitted to a third face of the second prism (hypotenuse of the right side of prism 3) that reflects it toward the said second exit face of the second prism (shortest side of the right and left sides of prism 3), and the light reflected by the polarizing beam splitter being transmitted to said third face of the first prism

Art Unit: 2872

(hypotenuse of the left side of prism 3) that reflects it toward said second exit face of the first prism (shortest side of the left side of prism 3), wherein said illuminating device also comprises polarization rotator device associated with only one of the second exit faces (e.g. the right side of prism 3) [abstract].

However, Yajima does not disclose that the light integrating device has one entry face that is optically coupled to said second exit faces of the prisms and has one exit face, different from the entry face that is optically coupled with a liquid crystal layer of said spatial light modulator, wherein said light integrating device, when receiving the beams reflected by the third faces of the prisms through said entry face, delivers a beam through said exit face, such that where illumination of the exit face is substantially homogeneous over this exit face such as to illuminate through said exit face the liquid crystal layer of said spatial light modulator in a uniform manner, and wherein the polarizing beam splitter comprises a grid polarizer, situated between the first faces of the first and of the second prism.

Yajima and Lu '578 are related as optical systems. Lu ('578) discloses (e.g. figure 2) a device (30, projector lens) that is optically coupled to exit faces of the prisms and receives beams reflected by the third faces of the prisms through an entry face, wherein the two prisms have a grid polarizer located between first and second faces of the second prism on the first face of the first prism [0019-0020]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the polarizing splitting portion of the modified Esaki et al. reference to include a

Art Unit: 2872

grid polarizer as taught by Lu ('578) in order to easily select the polarization of light that is needed for a given system.

However, the modified Yajima reference does not disclose a spatial light modulator and a light integrating device that has an exit face and delivers a beam such that illumination of the exit face is substantially homogeneous over the exit face so as to illuminate through said exit face the liquid crystal layer of said spatial light modulator in a uniform manner. Yajima, Lu et al. and Shikama et al. are related as optical systems. Shikama et al. disclose (e.g. figure 1) a spatial light modulator (61, LCD panel), and a light integrating device (24, rod integrator) that has an exit face and delivers a beam such that illumination of the exit face is substantially homogeneous over the exit face so as to illuminate through said exit face the liquid crystal layer of said spatial light modulator in a uniform manner [col. 8, lines 16-39 and col. 9, lines 1-19]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of the modified Yajima reference, as taught by Shikama et al, to include a spatial light modulator and a light integrating device in order to provide good uniformity of illumination within the emitting end of the rod integrator.

Consider claim 11, the modified Yajima reference discloses (e.g. figure 1 of Yajima) an illuminating device wherein non-right angles of the prisms are substantially equal to 60 degrees opposite the first faces and to 30 degrees opposite the second face, and in that the average direction of the light beam is substantially perpendicular to the third face of the first prism as it penetrates into this prism (the right and left sides of

Art Unit: 2872

prism 3 appear to be 30, 60, 90 degree prisms since the polarization separating layer splits the prism 3 in half) [abstract of Yajima].

Consider claims 12-13, the modified Yajima reference does not disclose that a divergence of the light beam is greater than or equal to 5 degrees and less than or equal to 10 degrees on either side of the average direction of the light beam. Note that the Court has held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation; see In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the divergence of the light beam to be greater than or equal to 5 degrees and less than or equal to 10 degrees on either side of the average direction of the light beam, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to select the range of divergence of the light beam in order to more accurately focus a light beam incident on the prism to avoid unwanted light reflecting within the prisms.

Consider claim 16, the modified Yajima reference discloses (e.g. figure 2 of Lu '578) an illuminating device wherein an air gap is provided between, on the one hand, the grid polarizer and the first face of the first or of the second prism on which it is formed and, on the other, the first face of the second or of the first prism, respectively facing it [Lu '578: 0019-0020].

Art Unit: 2872

Consider claim 17, the modified Yajima reference does not disclose the index of the material of the prisms is less than or equal to 1.5. Note that the Court has held that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supports a prima facie obviousness determination; See Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a material that has an index that is equal to or less than 1.5, since it has been held to be within the ordinary skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. One would have been motivated to select an index less than or equal to 1.5 in order to control reflection/refraction of the light beams within the prisms.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jade R. Chwasz whose telephone number is (571)272-8199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:00 am -3:30 pm est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephone B. Allen can be reached on 571-272-2434. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,987 Page 8

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JRC /Jade R. Chwasz/ Examiner, Art Unit 2872